| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | 10/820,610  | GARDNER ET AL.  |  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Ashwin Mehta  | 1638  |  |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>                             |   |  |
| (1) <u>Ashwin Mehta</u> .   | (3)   |   |  |
| (2) Robert Hodgson.   | (4)   | •   |  |
| Date of Interview: 31 July 2007   | Time:   |   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:  | cant's representative)  | , .   |  |
| Part I.   |   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None  |   |   |  |
| Claims discussed: 2, 22, 28, 30   |   | ·   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: None   |   |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner's amendments to the claims were discussed and app.  |   |   |  |
| Part III.   |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the   | ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview |  |
|   |   |   |  |
|   |   |   |  |
|   |   | •   |  |
|   |   |   |  |
|   |   | .*  |  |
| A   |   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican  | (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) |   |  |